CSCI-2500: Computer Organization

Processor Design

Datapath

The datapath is the interconnection of the components that make up the processor.

The datapath must provide connections for moving bits between memory, registers and the ALU.

Control

- The control is a collection of signals that enable/disable the inputs/outputs of the various components.
- You can think of the control as the brain, and the datapath as the body.
 - the datapath does only what the brain tells it to do.

Processor Design

The *sequencing* and *execution* of instructions

- We already know about many of the individual components that are necessary:
 ALU, Multiplexors, Decoders, Flip-Flops
- We need to discuss how to use a *clock*
- We need to think about registers and memory.



The clock generates a never-ending sequence of alternating 1s and 0s.

All operations are synchronized to the clock.

Clocking Methodology

- Determines when (relative to the clock) a *signal* can be read and written.
 - Read: signal value is used by some component.
 - Written: a signal value is generated by some component.

Simple Example: Enabled AND

- We want an AND gate that holds it's output value constant until the clock switches from 0 (lo) to 1 (hi).
- We can use a flip-flop to hold the inputs to the AND gate constant during the time we want the output constant.
- We use a clocked flip-flop to make things happen when the clock changes.

D Flip-Flop Reminder



The output (Q) changes to reflect D only when the Clock is a 1.

D Flip-Flop Timing



Clocked AND gate



Edge-triggered Clocking

 Values stored are updated (can change) only on a clock edge.

 When the clock switches from 0 to 1 everybody allows signals in.

everybody means state elements

 combinational elements always do the same thing, they don't care about the clock (that's why we added the flip-flops to our AND gate).

State Elements

- Any component that stores one or more values is a state element.
 - The entire processor can be viewed as a circuit that moves from one state (collection of all the state elements) to another state.
 - At time *i* a component uses values generated at time *i-1*.

Register File



Implementation of Read Ports



Implementation of Write



Memory

Memory is similar to a very large register file:

- single read port (output)
- chip select input signal
- output enable input signal
- write enable input signal
- address lines (determine which memory element)
- data input lines (used to write a memory element)

4 x 2 Memory (SRAM)



Memory Usage

- For now, we treat memory as a single component that supports 2 operations:
 - write (we change the value stored in a memory location)
 - read (we get the value currently stored in a memory location).
- We can only do one operation at a time!

Instruction & Data Memory

- It is useful to treat the memory that holds instructions as a separate component.
 - instruction memory is read-only
- Typically there is really one memory that holds both instructions and data.
 - as we will see when we talk more about memory, the processor often has two interfaces to the memory, one for instructions and one for data!

Designing a Datapath for MIPS

- We start by looking at the datapaths needed to support a simple subset of MIPS instructions:
 - a few arithmetic and logical instructions
 - load and store word
 - beg and j instructions

Functions for MIPS Instructions

- We can generalize the functions we need to:
 - using the PC register as the address, read a value from the memory (read the instruction)
 - Read one or two register values (depends on the specific instruction).
 - ALU Operation , Memory read or write, ...
 - Possibly change the value of a register.

Fetching the next instruction

- PC Register holds the address
- Memory holds the instruction
 - we need to read from memory.
- Need to update the PC
 - add 4 to current value

Instruction Fetch DataPath



Supporting R-format instructions

$$\leftarrow 6 \text{ bits} \rightarrow \leftarrow 5 \text{ bits} \rightarrow \leftarrow 5 \text{ bits} \rightarrow \leftarrow 5 \text{ bits} \rightarrow \leftarrow 6 \text{ bits} \rightarrow \leftarrow 6$$

op rs rt	rd	shamt	funct
----------	----	-------	-------

Includes add, sub, slt, and & or instructions.

Generalization:

- read 2 registers and send to ALU.
- perform ALU operation
- store result in a register

MIPS Registers

- MIPS has 32 general purpose registers.
- Register File holds all 32 registers
 - need 5 bits to select a register
 - rs, rt & rd fields in R-format instructions.
- MIPS Register File has 2 read ports.
 can get at both source registers at the same time.

Datapath for R-format Instructions



Load and Store Instructions

Need to compute the address

- offset (part of the instruction)
- base (stored in a register).

For Load:

- read from memory
- store in a register

For Store:

- read from register
- write to memory

Computing the address

- 16 bit signed offset is part of the instruction.
- We have a 32 bit ALU.
 - need to sign extend the offset (to 32 bits).
- Feed the 32 bit offset and the contents of a register to the ALU
- Tell the ALU to "add".

Load/Store Datapath



Supporting beq

2 registers compared for equality

- 16 bit offset used to compute target address.
 - signed offset is relative to the PC
 - offset is in words not in bytes!
- Might branch, might not (need to decide).

Computing target address

- Recall that the offset is actually relative to the address of the next instruction.
 - we always add 4 to the PC, we must make sure we use this value as the base.
- Word vs. Byte offset
 - we just need to shift the 16 bit offset 2 bits to the right (fill with 2 zeros).

Branch Datapath



Control & DataPath

Ref: Chapter 4

Datapath

The datapath is the interconnection of the components that make up the processor.

The datapath must provide connections for moving bits between memory, registers and the ALU.

Control

- The control is a collection of signals that enable/disable the inputs/outputs of the various components.
- You can think of the control as the brain, and the datapath as the body.
 - the datapath does only what the brain tells it to do.

Datapaths

We looked at individual datapaths that support:

- 1. Fetching Instructions
- 2. Arithmetic/Logical Instructions
- 3. Load & Store Instructions
- 4. Conditional branch

We need to combine these in to a single datapath.


- When designing one datapath that can be used for any operation:
 - the goal is to be able to handle one instruction per cycle.
 - must make sure no datapath *resource* needs to be used more than once at the same time.
 - if so we need to provide more than one!

Sharing Resources

- We can share datapath resources by adding a multiplexor (and a control line).
 - for example, the second input to the ALU could come from either:
 - a register (as in an arithmetic instruction)
 - from the instruction (as in a load/store when computing the memory address).

Sharing with a Multiplexor Example



Combining Datapaths for memory instructions and arithmetic instructions

Need to share the ALU

- For memory instructions used to compute the address in memory.
- For Arithmetic/Logical instructions used to perform arithmetic/logical operation.



CSCI-2500 SPRING 2016, Processor Design, Chapter 4

Adding the Instruction Fetch

- One memory for instructions, separate memory for data.
 - otherwise we might need to use the memory twice in the same instruction.
- Dedicated Adder for updating the PC
 - otherwise we might need to use the ALU twice in the same instruction.

Dedicated Adder



Need to add datapath for beq

Register comparison (requires ALU).

- Another adder to compute target address.
 - One input to adder is sign extended offset, shifted by 2 bits.
 - Other input to adder is PC+4



CSCI-2500 SPRING 2016, Processor Design, Chapter 4



Keep in mind that the datapath we now have supports just a few MIPS instructions!

 Things get worse (more complex) as we support other instructions:
 j jal jr addi

We won't worry about them now...

Control Unit

- We need something that can generate the controls in the datapath.
- Depending on what kind of instruction we are executing, different controls should be turned on (*asserted*) and off (*deasserted*).
- We need to treat each control individually (as a separate boolean function).

Controls

- Our datapath includes a bunch of controls:
 - ALU operation (3 bits)
 - RegWrite
 - ALUSTC
 - MemWrite
 - MemtoReg
 - MemRead
 - PCSrc

ALU Operation Control

A 3 bit control (assumes the ALU designed in chapter 4):

ALU Control Input	Operation
000	AND
001	OR
010	add
110	subtract
111	slt

ALU Functions for other instructions

lw, sw (load/store): addition

beq: subtraction

add, sub, and, or, slt (arithmetic/logical): All R-format instructions

R-Format Instructions

$$\leftarrow 6 \text{ bits} \rightarrow \leftarrow 5 \text{ bits} \rightarrow \leftarrow 5 \text{ bits} \rightarrow \leftarrow 5 \text{ bits} \rightarrow \leftarrow 6 \text{ bits} \rightarrow \leftarrow 6$$

op rs rt	rd	shamt	funct
----------	----	-------	-------

Operation is specified by some bits in the **funct** field in the instruction.

MIPS Instruction OPCODEs

op *varies depending on instruction*

- The MS 6 bits are an OPCODE that identifies the instruction.
- R-Format: always 000000
 - (funct identifies the operation)

lw sw beq 100011 101011 000100 We can view the 3 bit ALU control as 3 boolean functions. Inputs are:

- the op field (OPCODE)
- funct field (for R-format instructions only)

Simplifying The Opcode

For building the ALU Operation Controls, we are interested in only 4 different opcodes.

We can simplify things by first reducing the 6 bit op field to a 2 bit value we will call ALUOp

Instruction	ALUOp	funct	ALU action	ALU controls	
lw	00	???????	add	010	
SW	00	??????	add	010	
beq	01	??????	subtract	110	
add	10	100000	add	010	
sub	10	100010	subtract	110	
and	10	100100	and	000	
or	10	100101	or	001	
slt	10	101010	slt	111	

Build a Truth Table

- We can now build a truth table for the 3 bit ALU control.
- Inputs are:
 - 2 bit ALUOp
 - 6 bit funct field
- Abbreviated Truth Table: only show the rows we care about!

ALU	JOp	funct				ALU		
								Control
0	0	x	x	x	x	x	x	010
x	1	x	x	x	x	x	x	110
1	x	x	x	0	0	0	0	010
1	x	x	x	0	0	1	0	110
1	x	x	x	0	1	0	0	000
1	x	x	x	0	1	0	1	001
1	x	x	x	1	0	1	0	111

x means "don't care"

Adding the ALU Control

- We can now add the ALU control to the datapath:
 - inputs to this control come from the instruction and from ALUOp
- If we try to show all the details the picture becomes too complex:
 - just plop in an "ALU Control" box.



Implementing Other Controls

- The other controls in out datapath must also be specified as functions.
- We need to determine the inputs to all the functions.
 - primarily the inputs are part of the instructions, but there are exceptions.
- Need to define precisely what conditions should turn on each control.

RegDst Control Line

Controls a multiplexor that selects on of the fields rt or rd from an R-format or I-format instruction.
I-Format is used for load and store.
sw needs to write to the register rt.



RegDst should be

- 0 to send rt to the write register # input.
- 1 to send rd to the write register # input.
- RegDst is a function of the opcode field:
 - If instruction is sw, RegDst should be 0
 - For all other instructions RegDst should be
 1

RegWrite Control

- a 1 tells the register file to write a register.
 - whatever register is specified by the write register # input is written with the data on the write register data inputs.
- Should be a 1 for arithmetic/logical instructions and for a store.
- Should be a 0 for load or beq.

- MUX that selects the source for the second ALU operand.
 - 1 means select the second register file output (read data 2).
 - O means select the sign-extended 16 bit offset (part of the instruction).
- Should be a 1 for load and store.
- Should be a 0 for everything else.

• A 1 tells the memory to put the contents of the memory location (specified by the address lines) on the Read data output.

- Should be a **1** for load.
- Should be a **0** for everything else.

• 1 means that memory location (specified by memory address lines) should get the value specified on the memory Write Data input.

- Should be a **1** for store.
- Should be a **0** for everything else.

- MUX that selects the value to be stored in a register (that goes to register write data input).
 - 1 means select the value coming from the memory data output.
 - 0 means select value coming from the ALU output.
- Should be a **1** for load and any arithmetic/logical instructions.
- Should be a **0** for everything else (**sw**, **beq**).

PCSrc Control

- MUX that selects the source for the value written in to the PC register.
 - 1 means select the output of the Adder used to compute the relative address for a branch.
 - 0 means select the output of the PC+4 adder.
- Should be a **1** for beq if registers are equal!
- Should be a **0** for other instructions or if registers are different.

PCSrc depends on result of ALU operation!

- This control line can't be simply a function of the instruction (all the others can).
- PCSrc should be a 1 only when:
 - beq AND ALU zero output is a 1
- We will generate a signal called "branch" that we can AND with the ALU zero output.

Truth Table for Control

Instructi on	RegDst	ALUSrc	Memto- Reg	Reg Write	Mem Read	Mem Write	Branch	ALUOp
R- format	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	10
lw	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	00
SW	x	1	x	0	0	1	0	00
beq	x	0	x	0	0	0	1	01



Single Cycle Instructions

- View the entire datapath as a combinational circuit.
- We can follow the *flow* of an instruction through the datapath.
 - single cycle instruction means that there are not really any steps - everything just happens and becomes finalized when the clock cycle is over.
add \$t1,\$t2,\$t3

- Control Lines:
 - ALU Controls specify an ALU add operation.
 - RegWrite will be a 1 so that when the clock cycle ends the value on the Register Write Input lines will be written to a register.
 - all other control lines are 0.

lw \$t1, offset(\$t2)

Control Lines:

- ALU Control set for an add operation.
- ALUSrc is set to 1 to indicate the second operand is sign extended offset.
- MemRead would be a 1.
- RegDst would select the correct bits from the instruction to specify the dest. register.
- RegWrite would be a 1.

- If we have instructions execute in a single cycle, then the cycle time must be long enough for the slowest instruction.
 - all instructions take the same time as the slowest.

Multicycle Implementation

- Chop up the processing of instructions in to discrete stages.
- Each stage takes one clock cycle.
 - we can implement each stage as a big combinational circuit (like we just did for the whole thing).
 - provide some way to sequence through the stages.

Advantages of Multicycle

- Only need those stages required by an instruction.
 - the control unit is more complex, but instructions only take as long as necessary.
- We can share components
 - perhaps 2 different stages can use the same ALU.
 - We don't need to duplicate resources.

Additional Resources for Multicycle

- To implement a multicycle implementation we need some additional registers that can be used to hold intermediate values.
 - instruction
 - computed address
 - result of ALU operation

Multicycle Datapath



Multicycle Datapath for MIPS



MC DP with Control



Instruction Stages

- Instruction Fetch
- Instruction decode/register fetch
- ALU operation/address computation
- Memory Access
- Register Write

Complete Multicyle Datapath & Control



Instruction Fetch/Decode (IF/ID) State Machine



Memory Reference State Machine



R-type Instruction State Machine



Branch/Jump State Machine



Put it all together!



COCI-2000 OF INING 2016, Processor Design, Chapter 4

Control for Multicycle

- Need to define the controls
- Need to come up with some way to sequence the controls
 - Two techniques
 - finite state machine
 - microprogramming

Finite State Machine



MicroProgramming (sec. 5.7)

- The idea is to build a (very small) processor to generate the controls signals at the right time.
- At each stage (cycle) one microinstruction is executed - the result changes the value of the control signals.
- Somebody writes the *microinstructions* that make up each MIPS instruction.

Example microinstructions

Fetch next instruction:

- turn on instruction memory read Control Signals
- feed PC to memory address input
- write memory data output in to a holding register.

Compute Address:

- route contents of base register to ALU
- route sign-extended offset to ALU
- perform ALU add
- write ALU output in to a holding register.

Sequencing

- In addition to setting some control signals, each microinstruction must specify the next microinstruction that should be executed.
- 3 Options:
 - execute next microinstruction (default)
 - start next MIPS instruction (Fetch)
 - Dispatch (depends on control unit inputs).

Microinstruction Format

- A bunch of bits one for each control line needed by the control unit.
 - bits specify the values of the control lines directly.
- Some bits that are used to determine the next microinstruction executed.

Dispatch Sequencing

- Can be implemented as a table lookup.
 - bits in the microinstruction tell what row in the table.
 - inputs to the control unit tell what column.
 - value stored in table determines the microaddress of the next microinstruction.
- This is a simplified description (called a microdescription)

Exceptions & Interrupts

- Hardest part of control is implementing exceptions and interrupts - i.e., events that change the normal flow of instruction execution.
- MIPS convention
 - Exception refers to any unexpected change in control flow w/o knowing if the cause is internal or external.
 - Interrupts refer to only events who are externally caused.
 - Ex. Interrupts: I/O device request (ignore for now)
 - Ex. Exceptions: undefined instruction, arithmetic overflow

Handling Exceptions

- Let's implemented exceptions for handling
 - Undefined instruction
 - Overflow
- Basic actions
 - Save the offending instruction address in the Exception Program Counter (EPC).
 - Transfer control to the OS at some specified address
 - Once exception is handled by OS, then either terminate the program or continue on using the EPC to determine where to restart.
- OS actions are determined based on what caused the exception.
 - So, OS needs a Cause register which determines which path w/i the exception
 - Alternative implementation Vectored Interrupts where each cause of an exception or interrupt is given a specific OS address to jump to.
 - We'll use the first method.

Extending the Multicycle D&C

- What datapath elements to add?
 - EPC: a 32-bit register used to hold the address of the affected instruction.
 - Cause: A 32-bit register used to record the cause of the exception. (undef instruction = 0 and overflow = 1).
- What control lines to add?
 - EPCWrite and Cause write control signals to allow regs to be written.
 - IntCause (1-bit) control signal to set the low-order bit of the cause register to the appropriate value.

Revised Datapath & Control



Final FSM w/ exception handling





Multicycle Instructions

- Chop each instruction in to stages.
- Each stage takes one cycle.
- We need to provide some way to sequence through the stages:
 - microinstructions
- Stages can *share* resources (ALU, Memory).

Pipelining

- We can overlap the execution of multiple instructions.
- At any time, there are multiple instructions being executed - each in a different stage.
- So much for sharing resources ?!?

The Laundry Analogy

Non-pipelined approach:

- 1. run 1 load of clothes through washer
- 2. run load through dryer
- fold the clothes (optional step for students)
- 4. put the clothes away (also optional).

Two loads? Start all over.

Pipelined Laundry

- While the first load is drying, put the second load in the washing machine.
- When the first load is being folded and the second load is in the dryer, put the third load in the washing machine.
- Admittedly unrealistic scenario for CS students, as most only own 1 load of clothes...





Laundry Performance

For 4 loads:

- non-pipelined approach takes 16 units of time.
- pipelined approach takes 7 units of time.

For 816 loads:

- non-pipelined approach takes 3264 units of time.
- pipelined approach takes 819 units of time.

Execution Time vs. Throughput

- It still takes the same amount of time to get your favorite pair of socks clean, pipelining won't help.
- However, the total time spent away from CompOrg homework is reduced.

It's the classic "Socks vs. CompOrg" issue.
Instruction Pipelining

First we need to break instruction execution into discrete stages:

- 1. Instruction Fetch
- 2. Instruction Decode/ Register Fetch
- 3. ALU Operation
- 4. Data Memory access
- 5. Write result into register

Operation Timings

Some estimated timings for each of the stages:

Instruction Fetch	200 ps
Register Read	100 ps
ALU Operation	200 ps
Data Memory	200 ps
Register Write	100 ps

Comparison



RISC and Pipelining

- One of the major advantages of RISC instruction sets is the relative simplicity of a pipeline implementation.
 - It's much more complex in a CISC processor!!
- RISC (MIPS) design features that make pipelining easy include:
 - single length instruction (always 1 word)
 - relatively few instruction formats
 - Ioad/store instruction set
 - operands must be aligned in memory (a single data transfer instruction requires a single memory operation).

Pipeline Hazard

- Something happens that means the next instruction cannot execute in the following clock cycle.
- Three kinds of hazards:
 - structural hazard
 - control hazard
 - data hazard

Structural Hazards

- Two stages require the same resource.
 - What if we only had enough electricity to run either the washer or the dryer at any given time?
 - What if MIPS datapath had only one memory unit instead of separate instruction and data memory?

Avoiding Structural Hazards

- Design the pipeline carefully.
- Might need to duplicate resources
 - an Adder to update PC, and ALU to perform other operations.
- Detecting structural hazards at execution time (and delaying execution) is not something we want to do (structural hazards are minimized in the design phase).

Control Hazards

- When one instruction needs to make a decision based on the results of another instruction that has not yet finished.
- Example: conditional branch
 - The instruction that is fed to the pipeline right after a beg depends on whether or not the branch is taken.



The instruction to follow the **beq** could be either the **addi** or the **lw**, it depends on the result of the **beq** instruction.

One possible solution - stall

- We can include in the control unit the ability to stall (to keep new instructions from entering the pipeline until we know which one).
- Unfortunately conditional branches are very common operations, and this would slow things down considerably.

A Stall



To achieve a 1 cycle stall (as shown above), we need to modify the implementation of the **beq** instruction so that the decision is made by the end of the second stage.

Another strategy

- Predict whether or not the branch will be taken.
- Go ahead with the predicted instruction (feed it into the pipeline next).
- If your prediction is right, you don't lose any time.
- If your prediction is wrong, you need to undo some things and start the correct instruction

Predicting branch not taken



Dynamic Branch Prediction

- The idea is to build hardware that will come up with a prediction based on the past history of the specific branch instruction.
- Predict the branch will be taken if it has been taken more often than not in the recent past.
 - This works great for loops! (90% + correct).
 - We'll talk more about this ...

Yet another strategy: delayed branch

- The compiler rearranges instructions so that the branch actually occurs delayed by one instruction from where its execution starts
- This gives the hardware time to compute the address of the next instruction.
- The new instruction is hopefully useful whether or not the branch is taken (this is tricky - compilers must be careful!).



The compiler must generate code that differs from what you would expect.

Data Hazard

- One of the values needed by an instruction is not yet available (the instruction that computes it isn't done yet).
- This will cause a data hazard: add \$t0,\$s1,\$s2
 - addi \$t0,\$t0,17



Handling Data Hazards

- We can hope that the compiler can arrange instructions so that data hazards never appear.
 - this doesn't work, as programs generally need to use previously computed values for everything!
- Some data hazards aren't real the value needed is available, just not in the right place.



ALU needs sum from the previous ALU operation

The sum is available when needed!

Forwarding

It's possible to *forward* the value directly from one resource to another (in time).

- Hardware needs to detect (and handle) these situations automatically!
 - This is difficult, but necessary.

Picture of Forwarding



Another Example



Pipelining and CPI

- If we keep the pipeline full, one instruction completes every cycle.
- Another way of saying this: the average time per instruction is 1 cycle.
 - even though each instruction actually takes
 5 cycles (5 stage pipeline).

Correctness

Pipeline and compiler designers must be careful to ensure that the various schemes to avoid stalling do not change what the program does!

- only when and how it does it.
- It's impossible to test all possible combinations of instructions (to make sure the hardware does what is expected).
- It's impossible to test all combinations even without pipelining!

Pipelined Datapath

We need to use a multicycle datapath.

- includes registers that store the result of each stage (to pass on to the next stage).
- can't have a single resource used by more than one stage at time.

Pipelined Datapath - 5 stages



lw and pipelined datapath

We can trace the execution of a load word instruction through the datapath.

We need to keep in mind that other instructions are using the stages not in use by our 1w instruction!



Stage 1: Instruction Fetch (IF)



Stage 2: Instruction Decode (ID)



Stage 2: Instruction Decode (ID)



Stage 3: Execute (EX)



Stage 4: Memory Access (MEM)



Stage 5: WriteBack (WB)

A Bug!

- When the value read from memory is written back to the register file, the inputs to the register file (write register #) are from a different instruction!
- To fix the bug we need to save the part of the 1w instruction (5 bits of it specify which register should get the value from memory).

New Datapath



Figure 4.41
Store Word (sw) Data Path Flow (EX)





SW Data Path (cont.)



2016, Processor Design, Chapter 4

Write back

Final Corrected Datapath



Ex. With 5 instructions



	Time (in	clock cyc	les)	0.0109-07		0.0100.000	0.000	0.0.0.0.0.4	
	CC 1	CC 2	CC 3	CC 4	CC 5	CC 6	CC 1	CC 2	CC 3
Program execution order (in instructions)									
lw \$10, 20(\$1)	Instruction fetch	Instruction decode	Execution	Data access	Write back				
sub \$11, \$2, \$3		Instruction fetch	Instruction decode	Execution	Data access	Write back			
add \$12, \$3, \$4			Instruction fetch	Instruction decode	Execution	Data access	Write back		
lw \$13, 24(\$1)				Instruction fetch	Instruction decode	Execution	Data access	Write back	
add \$14, \$5, \$6					Instruction fetch	Instruction decode	Execution	Data access	Write back

Pipeline Control

Pipelined DP w/ signals



Control lines for pipeline stages



Pipelined DP w/ Control



CSCI-2500 SPRING 2016, Processor Design, Chapter 4

Pipelined Dependencies



Pipeline w/ Forwarding Values



ALU & Regs: B4, After Fwding



a. No forwarding



Datapath w/ forwarding



Forwarding Control Table

MUX Control	Source	Reason
ForwardA = 00	ID/EX	1 st ALU op from reg file
ForwardA= 10	EX/MEM	1 st ALU op fwd from prior ALU result
ForwardA = 01	MEM/WB	1 st ALU op fwd from data mem or earlier result

Forwarding Control Table (cont.)

MUX Control	Source	Reason
ForwardB = 00	ID/EX	2nd ALU op from reg file
ForwardB= 10	EX/MEM	2nd ALU op fwd from prior ALU result
ForwardB = 01	MEM/WB	2nd ALU op fwd from data mem or earlier result

Resolution

if(EX/MEM.RegWrite && EX/MEM.RegisterRd != 0 && EX/MEM.RegisterRd == ID/EX.RegisterRs) ForwardA = 10if(EX/MEM.RegWrite && EX/MEM.RegisterRd != 0 && EX/MEM.RegisterRd == ID/EX.RegisterRt) ForwardB = 10

if(MEM/WB.RegWrite && MEM/WB.RegisterRd != 0 && EX/MEM.RegisterRd != ID/EX.RegisterRs && MEM/WB.RegisterRd = ID/EX.RegisterRs) ForwardA = 01if(MEM/WB.RegWrite && MEM/WB.RegisterRd != 0 && EX/MEM.RegisterRd != ID/EX.RegisterRt && MEM/WB.RegisterRd = ID/EX.RegisterRt) ForwardB = 01

Data Hazards & Stalls

- Need Hazard detection unit in addition to forwarding unit.
- Check for Load Instructions based on...
 - if(ID/EX.MemRead && (ID/EX.RegisterRt==IF/ID.RegisterRs | | ID/EX.RegisterRt==IF/ID.RegisterRt)) StallThePipeline

Where Forwarding Fails...must stall



How Stalls Are Inserted



Pipelined control w/ fwding & hazard detection



CSCI-2500 SPRING 2016, Processor Design, Chapter 4

What about those crazy branches?



Branch Hazards: Assume Branch Not Taken

- Recall stalling until branch is complete is too ssssssllloooowwww!!
- So, assume the branch is not taken...
- If taken, instructions fetched/decoded must be discarded or "squashed"
- discard instructions, just change the original control values to O's (similar to load-use hazard),
- BIG DIFFERENCE: must flush the pipeline in the IF, ID and EX stages
- How can we reduce the "flush" costs when a branch is taken?

Reducing the Delay of Branches

- Let's move the branch execution earlier in the pipeline.
- EFFECT: fewer instructions need to be flushed.
- NEED two actions:
 - Compute branch target address (EASY can do on IF/ID stage).
 - Eval of branch decision (HARD)

Faster Branch Decision

- Recall, for BEQ instruction, we would compare two regs during the ID stage and test for equality.
- Equality can be tested by XORing the two regs. (a.k.a. equality unit)
- Need additional ID stage forwarding and hazard detection hardware
- This has 2 complicating factors...

Faster Branch Decison: Complex Factors

- In ID stage, now we need to decide whether a "bypass" path to the "equality" unit is needed.
 - ALU forwarding logic is not sufficient, and so we need new forwarding logic for the equality unit.
 - 2. Can stall due to a data hazard.
 - if an r-type instruction comes before the branch who operands are used in the comparision in the branch, a stall is needed

Example Pipelined Branch

36 sub \$10, \$4, \$8
40 beq \$1, \$3, 7
44 and \$12, \$2, \$5
48 or \$13, \$2, \$6
52 and \$14, \$4, \$2
56 slt \$15, \$6, \$7

72 lw \$4, 50(\$7)



Branch Processing Example

2016, Processor Design, Chapter 4

Dynamic Branch Prediction

- From the phase "There is no such thing as a typical program", this implies that programs will branch is different ways and so there is no "one size fits all" branch algorithm.
- Alt approach: keep a history (1 bit) on each branch instruction and see if it was last taken or not.
- Implementation: branch prediction buffer or branch history table.
 - Index based on lower part of branch address
 - Single bit indicates if branch at address was last taken or not. (1 or 0)

Problem with 1-bit Branch Predictors

- Consider a loop branch
 - Suppose it occurs 9 times in a row, then is not taken.
 - What's the branch prediction accuracy?
 - ANSWER: 1-bit predictor will mispredict the entry and exit points of the loop.
 - Yields only an 80% accuracy when there is potential for 90% (i.e., you have to guess wrong on the exit of the loop).

Solution: 2-bit Branch Predictor



Must be wrong twice before changing prediction Learns if the branch is more biased towards "taken" or "not taken"

Performance: Single vs Multicycle vs. PL

- Assume: 200 ps for memory access, 100 ps for ALU ops, 50 ps for register access
- Single-cycle clock cycle:
 - **600 ps**: 200 + 50 + 100 + 200 + 50
- Futher assume instruction mix
 - 25% loads, 10% stores, 11% branches, 2% jumps, 52%
 ALU instructions
 - Assume CPI for multi-cycle is 3.50
 - Multicycle clock cycle: must be longest unit which is 200 ps
 - Total time for an "avg" instruction is 3.5 * 200 ps =
 700ps

Pipeline performance (cont)

- For pipelined design...
 - Loads take 1 cycle when no load-use dependence and 2 cycles when there is yielding an average of 1.5 cycles per load.
 - Stores and ALU instructions take 1 cycle.
 - Branches take 1 cycle when predicted correctly and 2 cycles when not. Assume 75% accuracy, average branch cycles is 1.25.
 - Jumps are 2 cycles.
 - Avg CPI then is:
 1.5 x 25% + 1 x 10% + 1 x 52% + 1.25 x 11% + 2 x 2% = 1.17
 - Longest stage is 200 ps, so 200 x 1.17 = 234 ps

Even more performance...

- Ultimately we want greater and greater Instruction Level Parallelism (ILP)
- How?
- Multiple instruction issue.
 - Results in CPI's less than one.
 - Here, instructions are grouped into "issue slots".
 - So, we usually talk about IPC (instructions per cycle)
 - Static: uses the compiler to assist with grouping instructions and hazard resolution. Compiler MUST remove ALL hazards.
 - Dynamic: (i.e., superscalar) hardware creates the instruction schedule based on dynamically detected hazards

Example Static 2-issue Datapath



•1 more ALU (top handles address calc)

Ex. 2-Issue Code Schedule

Loop:	p: lw \$t0, 0(\$s1) addiu \$t0, \$t0, \$s2 sw \$t0, 0(\$s1) addi \$s1, \$s1, -4 bne \$s1, \$zero, Loop		#t0=array element #add scalar in \$s2 #store result # dec pointer # branch \$s1!=0			
	ALU/	Branch	Data Xfer Ins	st. Cycles		
oop:			lw \$t0, 0(\$s1) 1		
	addi	\$s1, \$s1, -4		2		
	addu	\$t0, \$t0, \$s2		3		
	bne	\$s1, \$zero, Loop	sw \$t0, 4(\$s1)	4		

It take 4 clock cycles for 5 instructions or IPC of 1.25
More Performance: Loop Unrolling

- Technique where multiple copies of the loop body are made.
- Make more ILP available by removing dependencies.
- How? Complier introduces additional registers via "register renaming".
- This removes "name" or "anti" dependence
 - where an instruction order is purely a consequence of the reuse of a register and not a real data dependence.
 - Ex. lw \$t0, 0(\$s1), addu \$t0, \$t0, \$s2 and sw \$t0, 4(\$s1)
 - No data values flow between one pair and the next pair
 - Let's assume we unroll a block of 4 interations of the loop...

Loop Unrolling Schedule

	ALU/Branch Instructions	Data Xfer	Cycles
Loop	addi \$s1, \$s1, -16	lw \$t0, 0(\$s1)	1
		lw \$t1, 12(\$s1)	2
	addu \$t0, \$t0, \$s2	lw \$t2, 8(\$s1)	3
	addu \$t1, \$t1, \$s2	lw \$t3, 4(\$s1)	4
	addu \$t2, \$t2, \$s2	sw \$t0, 16(\$s1)	5
	addu \$t3, \$t3, \$s2	sw \$t1, 12(\$s1)	6
		sw \$t2, 8(\$s1)	7
	bne \$s1, \$zero, loop	sw \$t3, 4(\$s1)	8

Performance of Instruction Schedule

- 12 of 14 instructions execute in a pair.
- Takes 8 clock cycles for 4 loop iterations
- Yields 2 clock cycles per iteration
- CPI = 8/14 → 0.57
- Cost of improvement: 4 temp regs + lots of additional code

Dynamic Scheduled Pipeline



CSCI-2500 SPRING 2016, Processor Design, Chapter 4

Intel P4 Dynamic Pipeline



Summary of Pipeline Technology



CSCI-2500 SPRING 2016, Processor Design, Chapter 4